BLS Test Committee Marriott West - Richmond, Virginia February 27, 2007 10:30am

Members Present: Members Absent: Staff:

Jeff Reynolds-Chair Dreama Chandler-excused Warren Short
Tom Olander Greg Neiman

Kathy Eubank
Diane Hutchison
Helen Nelson
Mel Losick
Debbie Akers
PJ Fleenor
Steve Wade

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
		up; Responsible Person
I. Welcome	The meeting was called to order at 1047	
II. Introductions	The Committee and guests introduced themselves	
III. Approval of Minutes	The Committee reviewed the minutes from the November 15, 2006 meeting. (ATTACHMENT: A)	Motion by: Tom Olander To accept the minutes as presented Second: Mel Losick Vote: Unanimous Motion Passes
IV Reports of Committee Members	Diane Hutchison asked her Regional Council for permission to pilot the NREMT sheets at a Consolidated Test Site and was told she could not. She then contacted PJ to see if he could use them at his next CTS. Mel Losick was able to use the NREMT Sheets and felt the Registry Sheets were easier to follow. He	

Others:

Cookie Conrad

Holly Sturdevant

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
T managem		up; Responsible Person
	noted no difference in outcomes. They were used for the Medical and Trauma stations.	Motion by: Mel Losick
	,	Have the Office Beta test the
	The Committee felt the Office should work through the Program reps to test the NREMT Sheets in CTS	NREMT Sheets at CTS Sites
	Sites before the next meeting.	Statewide through the Program
		Reps, using the process that was
		discussed.
		Second by: Debbie Akers
		Second by: Debbie Tikers
	Discussion	Amendment by: Steve Wade
		That the Beta Testing of the
		NREMT Sheets should be
		conducted at the next Instructor
		Practical Exam with results
		presented at the next BTC
		Meeting.
	The state of the s	Second: Kathy Eubank
	Use at Instructor Practical April 14th	
		Vote on Amendment:
		AYE: Unanimous
		Vote on the Motion as Amended:
		AYE: Unanimous
		TIL: Chammous
		Motion Passes
Adjourn for lunch	12:12	
Reconvened at:	12:50	
V. Skills for Random Skills	Steve Wade conducted a survey of Instructors in his area and 14 responded. Of those, 13 wanted some	
Station Station	type of Random Skills:	
Station	9 wanted Traction Splint station	
	8 wanted long bone Splinting	
	2 wanted Mast Trousers	
	6 wanted an Airway management station	
	6 wanted an Oxygen Station - combined	
	7 wanted a long board station if not included in trauma station	
	3 wanted a bleeding/shock station	
	7 wanted a KED/Short Board	
	/ wanted a INDI/OHOIT Dout	
	The Committee then reviewed the National Registry Skill Sheets (ATTACHMENT: B)	

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow- up; Responsible Person
	Poll of the Committee-For the Assessment Station will we test 1 Person at a time?- Unanimous yes	
IX. Other Items	None	
X. Assignments for Next Meeting	Homework: Come up with a list of what should be random skills and/or mandatory testing Three Skills stations outside of the assessment stations? One Person? Two Person? What Random Skills would you put on the list? If you were in charge, how would you do EMT Testing? Due to Jeff by May 1st Random Skills individually or in Pairs? What Random Skills should we test? OEMS List of skills performed in the field and the number of times Zoomerang Survey Level of Cert EMT Instructor Where do you live What 5 skills would you want to test(competency list) Comments	
XI. Establish Next Meeting Date	May 15 th , 2007 10:30-2:30	
PUBLIC COMMENT	None	
Adjournment	Motion to Adjourn 1428	

BLS Certification Test Committee February 27, 2007, 10:30 am Agenda

II.	Introductions
III.	Approval of Minutes from 11/15/06
IV.	Reports of Committee Members
V.	Skills for Random Skills Station
VI.	Registry Evaluation Sheets vs designing new sheets
VII.	Conducting Practical Examination
VIII.	Use of Registry Sheets for Evaluation-Kathy/Diane
IX.	Other Items
X.	Assignments for next meeting
XI.	Establish next meeting date
XII.	Adjourn

I.

Welcome

Attachment: A

November 15, 2006 Minutes of the BLS Certification Test Committee

BLS Certification Test Committee Hilton Garden Inn – Richmond, Virginia November 15, 2006 10:30am

Members Present:	Members Absent:	Staff:	Others:
Jeffrey Reynolds	Helen Nelson-Excused	Warren Short	
PJ Fleenor		Tom Nevetral	
Tom Olander		Greg Neiman	
Mel Losic		Chad Blosser	
Debbie Akers			
Dreama Chandler			
Kathy Eubank			
Diane Hutchison			
Steve Wade			

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
		up; Responsible Person
I. Welcome	The meeting was called to order at 10:35am	
II. Introduction		
III. Approval of Minutes	(Attachment A)	Motion By: Tom Olander
		To accept the minutes as presented
		Seconded by: Kathy Eubank
		Vote: Unanimous
		Motion Passes
IV. Previous Business	A. Discussion of Practical Exam Survey (Attachment B)	
	a. The Committee reviewed the results of the survey it drafted and put out to the	
	providers in Virginia	
	i. 1600 visits and 900 people completed the survey	
	B. Discussion of Survey of State EMS Directors (Attachment C)	
	 a. The Committee reviewed the results of the survey it drafted and put out to all State EMS Directors 	

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow- up; Responsible Person
	i. 10 States Responded C. Practical Results Statewide (Attachment D) a. The Committee reviewed the results of Practical Tests provided by the OEMS i. Most failures are for more than 1 critical criteria ii. Should we consider doing away with the imaginary provider and actually have someone do this (have an assistant EMT in Trauma) D. The Committee discussed issues related to Practical Examinations based on the information received. Committee members expressed their opinions about BLS Testing in the State.	
Adjourn for lunch 12:00pm		
Reconvene 12:40pm		
V. New Business	A. The Committee discussed the pros and cons of doing National Registry Basic Testing a. Cost is a concern	
	The Committee discussed the motion	Motion By: PJ Fleenor That we keep some type of practical testing administered by the state. Second: Tom Olander/Diane Hutchinson Discussion Question Called By PJ Fleenor Vote: Unanimous Motion Passes
	The Committee discussed the make-up of the Practical Exam.	
		Motion By: Kathy Eubank That we leave the Trauma and Medical Stations scenario based as is and add a random skill station utilizing the currently listed

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow- up; Responsible Person
		Registry skill sheets for those Random Skills (which ones to be determined later)
		Second: Tom Olander
	The Committee discussed the motion	Discussion
		Motion to amend: Mel Losick We have a medical station, a trauma station and a random basic skill station, evaluation format to be determined.
		Second by: PJ Fleenor
		Vote: Unanimous
		Amendment Passes
		Motion as amended: That we have trauma, medical and random basic skill stations. The evaluation format to be determined.
		Vote: Unanimous
		Motion Passes
VII. Assignments	 A. Committee Members should speak with their constituents about the issues raised today in terms of leave it like it is, vs. more defined evaluation process. B. What Skills you would like to use for the Random Skills Station? C. Whether we want to use the Registry Evaluation sheets or should we design our own sheets? D. Look at ALS Coordinators Manual from Registry for ideas about how they conduct their stations. E. Kathy Eubank stated she would attempt to use the Registry Evaluation Sheets from Medical and Trauma during EMT-B testing to see if the results using them are different than the current evaluation tools. Diane Hutchison stated she had someone she could use to do this. This would be done without comparing between the evaluators. F. Are there other suggestions we can implement to reduce some of the subjectivity in the testing 	
VIII. Establish next Meeting Date	January 23 rd , 2007 10:30AM	

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
		up; Responsible Person
VIII. Adjournment	The Meeting was adjourned at 1445	

Attachment: B

NREMT-Basic Practical Check Sheets

The check sheets may be downloaded from the National Registry of EMT's webpage at the following link:

The security on the PDF documents prevented compiling them here.